IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Randal Best,	
aka Randel Deon Best,) C.A. No. 8:10-674-TLW-BHH
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.) ORDER
)
Federal Bureau of Prisons,	
D. Drew, Warden, FCI-Bennettsville,)
Luis Berrios, M.D., Clinical Director;)
Julia Berrios, M.D./Medical Officer;)
NFN Mumford, HSA Administrator, FCI-Bennettsville;)
K. Wright, Director of Medical,)
)
Defendants.)
	_)

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In her Report, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends that the complaint in the above-captioned case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. # 26). The Report was filed on October 13,2010. On November 4, 2010, the plaintiff filed objections to the Report. (Doc. # 28).

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections. . . . The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual

8:10-cv-00674-TLW Date Filed 01/12/11 Entry Number 30 Page 2 of 2

or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny

entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not

objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept,

reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F.Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations

omitted).

In light of this standard, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections

thereto. The Court accepts the Report.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report is

ACCEPTED (Doc. #26); plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED** (Doc. #28); and the complaint

in the above-captioned case is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of

process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

January 12, 2011

TERRY L. WOOTEN

Florence, SC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2